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Global migration and the quest by diverse racial, ethnic, cultural, linguistic, and religious groups for recognition, civic equality, and structural inclusion within their nation-states have complicated the attainment of citizenship in countries around the world. In a number of nations, including Canada (Joshee, 2009) and the United Kingdom (Tomlinson, 2009), nationalism and a push for social cohesion have arisen in response to globalization and growing ethnic, cultural, linguistic, and religious diversity. Nations in Europe such as England, the Netherlands— and especially France—are having a difficult time structurally integrating Muslims citizens into their cultural, social, and civic lives (Fredette, 2014; Lemaire, 2009).
The challenges of diversity and citizenship within Western nations have been manifested in recent years by the persistent conflicts between police officers and communities of color and the Black Lives Matter Movement (BLM) in the United States, by the large number of people from nations such as Syria, Iraq, and Afghanistan who have fled their homelands seeking refuge in European nations, and by the terrorist attacks that occurred in France in January, 2015, in Paris on November 13, 2015, and in San Bernardino, California on December 2, 2015. The xenophobia that has targeted immigrants and mobilized angry populist groups in a number of Western nations were among the factors that led to the passage of the Brexit referendum in the United Kingdom to leave the European Union in June 2016 and to the election of Donald J. Trump as president of the United States in November 2016.

These developments and events indicate the extent to which globalization is being challenged by nationalism and populist revolts in nations around the world, including the United States. In a rally in December 2016, President-Elect Trump stated, “There is no global anthem, no global currency, no certificate of global citizenship. From now on, it’s going to be ‘America First.’” (cited in Ip, 2017, p. 1). The populist revolt against globalization and the xenophobia that nativist groups have directed toward migrants have stimulated renewed, contentious, and polarized political discussions and debates about the extent to which Western nations can and should structurally integrate diverse ethnic, cultural, linguistic, and religious groups into their nation-states and provide opportunities for them to become fully integrated and participatory citizens in the polity.

Citizens within Democratic Nation States
Citizens have certain rights and privileges within a democratic nation-state and are entitled to its protection. They are also expected to be loyal to the nation-state. This minimal definition of citizen lacks the thickly textured and complex discussions and meanings of citizenship in multicultural democratic nations that have been developed by scholars such as Kymlicka (1995), Gutmann (2004), and Gonçalves e Sliva (2004). These scholars state that citizens within democratic pluralistic nation-states should endorse the overarching ideals of the nation-state such as justice and equality, be committed to the maintenance and perpetuation of these ideals, and be willing to take action to help close the gap between their nation’s ideals and practices that violate those ideals, such as racial, ethnic, and religious discrimination and economic inequality. Bosniak (2006) also describes multiple dimensions and conceptions of citizenship, such as cultural citizenship and multicultural citizenship. Status, rights, and identity are among the variables of citizenship analyzed by Joppke (2010).

I argue in this paper that many individuals who are members of marginalized and structurally excluded ethnic, racial, cultural, linguistic, and religious groups experience failed citizenship because they are denied full citizenship rights and privileges. They consequently develop weak identities and ambivalent attachments to the nation-state, experience political alienation, and have low levels of political participation. I describe a typology of citizenship that consists of (1) failed citizenship; (2) recognized citizenship; (3) participatory citizenship; and (4) transformative citizenship (See Table 1). This typology is a Weberian conception—the four categories approximate but do not describe reality in its total complexity. The categories are useful conceptual tools for thinking about citizenship socialization and citizenship education. Although the four categories
can be conceptually distinguished, in reality they overlap and are interrelated in a dynamic way. For example, individuals must be recognized by the state as legal and legitimate citizens before they can become participatory citizens who can take actions to implement existing laws and policies or transformative citizens who take actions to make fundamental changes within the nation that advance social justice and equality. The citizenship status of African Americans in the United States has both failed and participatory citizenship characteristics. In many ways African Americans are structurally integrated into the political, economic, and cultural institutions of the United States and are recognized citizens. However, they have not attained full citizenship inclusion and rights because of enduring institutionalized discrimination and racial barriers (Ladson-Billings, 2004; Omi & Winant, 1994).

I describe four categories of citizenship and the extent to which each category of citizen can and do participate in the political system. Marginalized and structurally excluded ethnic, racial, cultural, linguistic, and religious groups experience failed citizenship because they are denied many of the rights of full citizenship and consequently develop weak identities and ambivalent attachments to the nation-state. Individuals and groups that are recognized citizens are structurally integrated into the nation-state, have strong identities with it, are publicity identified and validated as citizens, and have the opportunity to fully participate in the polity.

I maintain that institutions and structures within nation-states need to enable marginalized and structurally excluded ethnic, racial, linguistic, and religious groups to
attain recognized and participatory citizenship in order to create democratic and inclusive nation-states, to actualize social justice and equality, and to help the diverse groups within the polity to develop thoughtful and reflective national identities and attachments. Policy makers and educational leaders within nations that are grappling with diversity and citizenship need to realize that groups that are structurally excluded will not be peacefully apathetic and that structural exclusion produces alienation, resistance, and insurgency. Failed citizenship is antithetical to a fully functioning democratic, inclusive, and just nation-state.

I describe ways in which schools can reduce failed citizenship by helping marginalized and structurally excluded groups become recognized and participatory citizens who are fully integrated into the polity. I also describe ways in which schools are limited in the extent to which they can reduce failed citizenship within social, political, and economic contexts that cause structural exclusion and political alienation among diverse groups.

Recognized, Participatory, Failed, and Transformative Citizenship

I conceptualize recognized citizenship as a status that is publicly sanctioned and acknowledged by the state. The state views these individuals and groups as legitimate, legal, and valued members of the polity and provides them with the opportunity to participate fully in the nation-state. This status does not mean that the individual or group actually participates but has the opportunity and potential to participate as fully functioning members of the polity. When recognized citizenship expands and becomes inclusive, the social, cultural, economic, and political systems of the nation facilitate the structural inclusion of marginalized individuals and groups into its major institutions.
Consequently, individuals and groups who become recognized citizens have the potential to develop strong attachments, allegiances, and identities with the nation-state or polity.

The attainment of recognized citizenship status gives individuals and groups the right and opportunity to fully participate in the civic community of the nation-state. However, it does not guarantee their participation. Consequently, individuals or groups who have state recognized citizenship status participate in the polity at very different levels. Some individuals with recognized citizenship status do not exercise their rights and privileges at all, including voting; they are recognized and legal citizens but do not exercise their civic privileges. Estimates indicate that only 58% of eligible voters participated in the presidential election in the United States on November 8, 2016 (Regan, 2016). Some individuals who are recognized citizens are “minimal citizens”—their civic action is limited to voting in local and national elections for conventional candidates and issues (Banks, 2008). Other individuals with recognized citizenship status take action beyond voting to actualize existing laws and conventions. I call each of these levels of civic action participatory citizenship. This category is similar to the participatory citizen detailed by Westheimer and Kahne (2004) in their study of civic education programs in the United States. They describe three conceptions of the good citizen: (1) personally responsible (2) participatory; and (3) justice-oriented. They define a participatory citizen “as an individual who actively participates in the civic affairs and social life of the community at the local, state, or national level” (p. 241).

Transformative citizens take actions to actualize values and moral principles that transcend the nation-states and national boundaries, such as the values that are articulated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and that were articulated and promoted by
civil and human rights leaders such as Mahatma Gandhi, Eleanor Roosevelt, Martin Luther King, Jr., and Nelson Mandela. The action taken by transformative citizens often means that they must violate existing local, state, or national laws in order to promote cosmopolitan and universal values. Rosa Parks and the African American students who participated in sit-ins and marches during the Civil Rights Movement of the 1960s violated existing segregation laws when they protested against them. Given the widespread social and economic inequalities within nations around the world, transformative citizen action is required to actualize justice and equality within many nation-states. Transformative citizens share characteristics with the “social-justice citizen” described by Westheimer and Kahne (2004) who “critically assesses social, political, and economic structures to see beyond surface causes” and “seeks out and addresses areas of injustice” (p. 240). Only individuals and groups who have been granted citizenship rights and recognition by the nation-state can become participatory and transformative citizens.

Individuals and groups that experience failed citizenship are denied many of the rights and privileges of citizenship because of their racial, cultural, linguistic, or religious characteristics. They are likely to participate in protest, civil disobedience, and resistance (C. E. Sleeter, personal communication, November 10, 2015). People who experience failed citizenship may also be more likely than structurally integrated individuals to accept and be victimized by the propaganda of extremist groups such as White nationalist groups in the United States and the Islamic State of Iraq and Syria (ISIS). By December 8, 2015, 250 Americans had travelled to nations in the Middle East to join ISIS; 40 had returned to the United States (cited on NPR News, December 8, 2015). Some published sources indicate that as many as 4,000 or more Europeans had joined ISIS by June 18,
2015 (Bora, 2015). Although individuals are attracted to and join extremist groups for many different and complex reasons—including those related to personality factors (Brooks, 2015)—it is reasonable to hypothesize, based on emerging case studies of deradicalization (Jordan & Audi, 2015), that political alienation and structural exclusion are contributing factors.

**Citizenship Socialization**

Participatory citizenship socialization occurs when individuals who live within a nation-state internalize its basic values and symbols, acquire an allegiance to these values, and are willing to take action to actualize these values and to protect and defend the nation-state if it is endangered. Citizenship socialization fails and is unsuccessful when individuals who are born within the nation or migrate to it and live within it for an extended period of time do not internalize the values and ethos of the nation-state, feel structurally excluded within it, and who have highly ambivalent towards it. In this paper, I call this phenomenon “failed citizenship” and will—through the discussion of the experiences of marginalized ethnic, cultural, linguistic, and religious groups in different nations—identify factors that cause failed citizenship, political alienation, and ambivalent identities among individuals and groups who feel structurally excluded and politically apart from their nation-states.

These groups often lack political efficacy and experience failed citizenship because they are required to become alienated from their cultures, languages, and communities in order to be viewed and treated as recognized and participatory citizens of their nation-states. Historically in immigrant nations such as the United States, Australia,
and Canada indigenous and immigrant groups have been required to abandon their
cultural, language, and religious characteristics in order to be viewed and treated as fully
recognized and participatory citizens. They have been required to experience cultural
Valenzuela (1999) refers to this process as “substractive schooling” when it takes place in
schools.

By failed citizenship, I am not suggesting that citizens who are structurally
excluded, alienated, and marginalized within their nation-states have failed. Rather, I am
using this concept to describe the political, social, and economic institutions within
nation-states that have barriers that prevent the structural inclusion of individuals and
groups who have racial, ethnic, cultural, linguistic, or religious characteristics that differ
in significant ways from those of mainstream and powerful groups that control the
institutions within the nation. I am using failed citizenship to describe the structures and
systems within nation-states rather than the characteristics of excluded and marginalized
individuals and groups who experience barriers that prevent them from becoming full
citizens.

Failed citizenship occurs when the social, cultural, economic, and political
institutions within a nation prevent marginalized individuals and groups from attaining
full structural inclusion into the nation-state. Individuals and groups that experience
failed citizenship frequently develop weak identities with the nation-state and low levels
of allegiance to it. They usually participate at minimum levels in the political system of
the state. Although excluded racial, cultural, linguistics, and religious groups usually
have identities with the nation-state, their identities are complex and multidimensional
because they have strong identities with their cultural communities and sometimes with their original homelands. Because of both their marginalized status and multiple identities, they often focus on their particularistic goals and issues rather than on the overarching interests and goals of the nation-state. Their first and primary identity is their ethnic, racial, cultural, linguistic, or religious group rather than the nation-state. They focus primarily on their cultural needs and empowerment rather than on the universal priorities of the nation-state in order to attain the cultural capital, recognition, and power required to attain structural inclusion and to participate in equal-status interactions with dominant and mainstream groups.

Sizemore (1972) hypothesizes that excluded groups within capitalist nations must acquire power and economic capital before they can attain structural inclusion and engage in equal-status interactions with mainstream hegemonic groups. Sizemore’s conceptualization indicates that excluded and marginalized groups will not attain recognized and participatory citizenship status until they have acquired what Collins (2004) calls the “power of self-definition” (p. 97). Intellectual and political leaders of indigenous groups such as the Maori in New Zealand, Native Americans in the United States, and the Kurds in Turkey view the attainment of cultural integrity, autonomy, and self-determination as essential for their citizenship participation in the polity. These groups view their citizenship as dual and multidimensional; they are citizens of their indigenous lands and “nations” or territories as well as citizens of the polity.

Kymlicka (2011) maintains that “multination states” that have national groups such as Native Americans in the United States, the Maori in New Zealand, and the Kurds in Turkey need to adapt a “multinational conception of citizenship” (p. 282). He states
That these groups “conceive of themselves as forming a ‘nation’ within a larger state, and mobilize behind nationalist political movements to attain recognition of their nationhood, either in the form of an independent state or through territorial autonomy within the larger state” (pp. 284-285). Kymlicka contends that “ambivalent feelings and contested commitments are not evidence of failure of citizenship, but rather define the challenge to which citizenship must respond” (p. 289).

Historically, the Western immigrant nations such as the United States and Australia did not make provisions for multidimensional conceptions of citizenship that included strong identities and attachments to community cultures or to “nations” or territories within the nation-state. Indigenous and immigrant groups were required to become alienated from their home, community, or territorial cultures in order to become valid, recognized, and participatory citizens of the nation-state. However, citizenship should be expanded to include cultural rights and self-determination in addition to civic, political, and social rights (Banks, 2008). Ethnic, cultural, linguistic, and religious groups should not have to experience self-alienation or deculturalization in order to be recognized, participatory, and full citizens of the polity.

When participatory citizenship occurs, the social, cultural, economic, and political systems facilitate the structural inclusion of marginalized individuals and groups into the nation-state and its dominant institutions. Consequently, individuals and groups who attain recognized and participatory citizenship can develop strong attachments, allegiances, and identities with the nation-state or polity.

Factors That Lead to Participatory Citizenship
Individuals and groups within a nation-state who are recognized and participatory citizens speak the official language or languages of the nation, have cultural values and behaviors that are idealized, valued, and publicity recognized within the nation, and can fully participate in the public and civic cultures of the nation-state. They can also exercise considerable power in the political system. The successful and dominant groups in most nations usually view themselves as the founders of the nation even though there may have been indigenous groups living in the territory in which the nation is now located. Recognized and participatory citizens have strong identities with the nation-state and view their culture and the culture of the nation-state as synonymous. In the Western immigrant nations such as Australia, Canada, and the United States, the recognized and participatory citizenship groups that are hegemonic usually attained their power and influence by conquering and or enslaving indigenous groups and by constructing a national culture that privileged the culture of Western Europeans and marginalized the cultures and experiences of indigenous groups, Africans, Asians, and other non-White groups.

Recognized and participatory citizenship groups that exercise the most power within a nation-state tend to view their interests as identical to those of the polity and the “public interest” and the interests of minoritized and marginalized groups as “special interests” (Huntington, 2004; Schlesinger, 1991). Political dominant groups also tend to marginalize the interests of groups such as Mexican Americans and American Indians by labeling them “identity groups.” The ways in which they describe identity groups suggest that only marginalized groups such as Mexican Americans, African Americans, and other minoritized groups are identity groups. Yet, as Gutmann (2003) insightfully points out,
mainstream groups such as Anglo-Americans and the Boy Scouts of America are also identity groups.

**Failed Citizenship and Citizenship Participation**

Individuals and groups who experience failed citizenship do not feel structurally included within their nation-state. I am defining structural inclusion as a set of attitudes and beliefs that people have which are characterized by a feeling of political efficacy, political empowerment, and a belief that they can influence political and economic decisions that affect their lives by participating in the political system. In other words, people who feel structurally included within the civic culture of their nation-state have political efficacy and believe that their participation in the polity can make a difference. Individuals and groups who experience failed citizenship have high levels of structural exclusion rather than inclusion in terms of culture, language, national symbols, and identification within the nation-state. They experience low levels of recognition in the public depictions of the national culture, have weak or ambivalent attachments to the nation-state, and experience high levels of political and cultural alienation. Because political and cultural alienation are often related to income status, groups with low levels of political efficacy usually have low economic status, which decreases their opportunity for full citizenship, civic belonging, and civic participation.

Individuals and groups who are not structurally included within the political and cultural systems of their nation-state lack political efficacy and consequently participate at low levels in the political system. They often do not vote because they believe that their votes will not make a difference and that politicians don’t care about them. They
also have negative views of politicians. In her significant study, *Democracy Remixed: Black Youth and the Future of Politics*, Cohen (2010) found that Black and Latino youth have a high level of political alienation, which has a negative effect on political activism and political participation. Writes Cohen, “It is only a feeling of political efficacy that can bolster the positive feelings [B] lack youth have about government officials. The belief that one is politically efficacious diminishes negative feelings toward the government”. (p. 136).

**Diversity and Failed Citizenship in Different Nations**

Ethnic, cultural, linguistic, and religious groups that experience failed citizenship exist in nations around the world— including the Kurds in Turkey, Muslims in France, the Uyghur people in China, and the Chechens in Russia. I recognize that the Kurds in Turkey as well as the Chechens in Russia seek political self-determination, independence, and nationalism. However, I view them as experiencing failed citizenship within Turkey and Russia because they are prevented from attaining recognized and participatory citizenship and consequently are not able to function as full citizens in the polity.

Failed citizenship is a fluid and complex and not an absolute concept. In other words, some groups experience failed citizenship barriers at greater levels than others (See Figure 1). The position of the Chechens in Russia is an example of a very high level of failed citizenship because the Chechens are seeking separation from Russia to form their own nation. In Australia, the Aborigines have a high level of failed citizenship whereas the Greeks have attained significant levels of structural and civic inclusion into Australian life. The situation of African Americans in the United States is multifaceted,
intricate, and is significantly influenced by social class, as Wilson (1978) perceptively points out in his important and controversial book, *The Declining Significance of Race: Blacks and Changing American Institutions*. Although African Americans do not enjoy full citizenship rights in the United States primarily because of institutionalized racism and discrimination (Feagin, 2000; Ladson-Billings, 2004), social class mediates the effects of race. The higher their social class, the more political, social, and cultural opportunities African Americans have. However, regardless of their social class, race still remains an intractable barrier. The racial microaggressions that many middle-class and upper-middle-class African Americans experience indicate that social class mobility reduces but does not eliminate racial categorization and stigmatization (Feagin, 2000). In her moving and eloquent commencement address presented at Tuskegee University in 2015, former First Lady Michelle Obama describes some of the painful racial microaggressions she experienced after Barack Obama became president of the United States (Obama, 2015).

The experience of Muslims in France is a significant and complex example of failed citizenship. Since the 1960s and 1970s, the French have dealt with immigrant groups in ways distinct from the United States, Canada, and Australia. *La laïcité* is a highly influential concept in France, the aim of which is to keep church and state separate (Lemaire, 2009). *La laïcité* emerged in response to the hegemony the Catholic Church exercised in France over the schools and other institutions for several centuries. A major goal of state schools in France is to assure that youth obtain a secular education. Consequently, just as Catholic students may not wear a crucifix, Muslim students in
French state schools may not wear the hijab (veil) or any other religious symbols (Bowen, 2007). In France the explicit goal is assimilation (called integration) and inclusion (Castles, 2004). Requiring immigrants to surrender their languages and cultures in order to become full citizens of France contributes to the development of barriers that result in failed citizenship.

**Schools as a Factor in Failed Citizenship**

Assimilationist conceptions of citizenship require individuals and groups to give up their first languages and cultures in order to become recognized and participatory citizens in the civic community of the nation-state. These conceptions are major factors that result in individuals and groups experiencing failed citizenship. Assimilationists fear that a focus on ethnic identity will undermine attempts to develop national identity and a cohesive nation-state. They also view identities as “zero sum” constructions (Kymlicka, 2004). Assimilationist scholars argue that educators should develop students’ national identities and not their cultural or ethnic identities. They call efforts to help students clarify their ethnic identities “identity politics” (Chavez, 2010; Glazer, 1997; Huntington, 2004). It is a false dichotomy to argue that educators should focus on developing national identity rather than ethnic identity. Ethnic and cultural identity, national identity, and global identity are highly interconnected, complex, changing, and contextual concepts (Banks, 2008). After an extensive literature review of the citizenship identities of Muslim youths in the United States and the United Kingdom, Abu El-Haj and Bonet (2011) concluded that “Many Muslim youth see no conflict between their identity as Muslims and as Americans or Britons” (p. 40). A study by Gibson (cited in Deaux, 2000)
is consistent with the conclusion by Abu El-Haj and Bonet. Gibson found that strong group identity and national identity are compatible concepts. Writes Deaux:

Research recently done in South Africa suggests that not only can ethnic and national identity be compatible, but they can be mutually supportive. . . James Gibson (2004) found that the correlations between ethnic and group identification and the importance and pride associated with being a South African were universally positive, arguing against the hypothesis that strong group identification is incompatible with strong national identification. (p. 94)

The scholarship of citizenship theorist such as Kymlicka (1995), Young (2000), Gutmann (2004), and Ladson-Billings (2004) indicate that students from cultural, ethnic, linguistic, and religiously diverse communities will find it difficult to develop strong commitments and identities with the nation-state if it does not reflect and incorporate important aspects of their ethnic and community cultures. Gutmann calls this phenomenon “recognition,” and argues that students need to experience civic equality and recognition in order to develop civic commitments and allegiance to their nation-state. The young men who were arrested for the bombings of London’s transport vehicles on July 7, 2005, were British citizens who grew up in Leeds, but apparently had a weak identity with the nation-state and non-Muslim British citizens. Citizenship education theory and research indicate that recognition and structural inclusion are required for marginalized groups to develop and internalize a deep commitment to the nation-state and its cultural values and to become full and participating citizens in the polity (Banks, 2007; 2008; Gutmann, 2004; Kymlicka, 1995; Young, 2000).
Historically, however, schools in the United States—as well as schools in other nations such as Australia, Canada, the United Kingdom, France, Australia, and China (Banks, 2004; Postiglione, 2009b)—have alienated marginalized students from their histories and cultures when trying to make them citizens. Nation-states tried to create unity by forcing racial, cultural, ethnic, linguistic, and religious minoritized groups to give up their community languages and cultures in order to participate in the national civic culture. These actions have resulted in significant failed citizenship in various nations. In the United States, Mexican American students were punished for speaking Spanish in school and Native American youth were forced to attend boarding schools in which their cultures and languages were eradicated (Lomawaima & McCarty, 2006). “Kill the Indian and save the man,” a statement made by Captain Richard H. Pratt in 1882, epitomizes the assimilationist goals of the boarding schools to which American Indians were sent in the United States (Peterson, 2013). Pratt founded the Carlisle Indian Industrial School in 1879, which was the first off-reservation boarding school established in the United States for Native Americans. Some teachers used soap to wash the mouths of Mexican American students who spoke Spanish in schools in Southwestern states such as Arizona, New Mexico, and Texas. First Nations students in Canada were sent to boarding schools in which they experienced forced assimilation and their languages and cultures were eradicated (Barman, Hébert, & McCaskill, 1986).

Aboriginal children were taken from their families and forced to live on state missions and reserves in Australia (Broome, 1982), a practice that lasted from 1869 to 1969. These children are called “The stolen generation.” Kevin Rudd, the Australian Prime Minister, issued a formal apology to the stolen generation on February 13, 2008. In
China, Tibetan students were sent to boarding schools where their culture and language received little recognition and were marginalized (Postiglione, 2009a). In order to embrace the national civic culture, students from diverse groups must feel that it reflects their experiences, hopes, and dreams. *Institutions such as schools cannot marginalize the cultures of groups and expect them to feel structurally included within the nation and to develop a strong allegiance to it.* When institutions such as schools and the courts marginalize the cultures of minoritized and stigmatized groups, they create alienation and failed citizenship. Civic educators within multicultural nation-states should realize that many students from diverse groups are negotiating multiple and complex identities and require cultural recognition and rights as essential parts of their citizenship identities (Abu El-Haj & Bonet, 2011).

**Schools and Structural Inclusion**

*Social Studies Teaching.* An important goal of civic education should be to help students from marginalized groups become recognized and participatory citizens by attaining a sense of structural integration and inclusion within their nation-states and clarified national identities. Research indicates that the content and methods of school-based civic and multicultural education can promote structural inclusion. Research by Callahan and Muller (2013) indicates that the civic knowledge that students attain and the high levels of social connection within schools increase the civic efficacy and political participation of immigrant students. Consequently, courses that teach civic knowledge within classrooms and schools that promote high levels of social connection among students can help them to develop a sense of structural inclusion. Research also indicates that social studies coursework can increase the political participation of students who
have immigrant parents. Research reviewed by Obenchain and Callahan (2015) reveals “a direction association between the number of social studies credits completed and the probability of voting among children of immigrant parents” [emphasis in original] (p. 127).

Theoretical and empirical work by civic education scholars such as Parker (2003) and Dabach (2015) provide compelling evidence that visionary schools and teachers can help marginalized students increase their sense of civic inclusion and belonging within their communities and nation-state. Parker (1996, 2003) advances a theory and a teaching strategy—deliberation—for deepening democracy in schools and society, for enhancing citizen participation, and for extending democracy to cultural, ethnic, racial, and linguistic communities. In a two-year qualitative study, Dabach identified a teacher who humanized the experiences of undocumented families and students by using deportation narratives that actively engaged marginalized students in her civic classroom.

Culturally Responsive and Culturally Sustaining Pedegogy. The research on culturally responsive teaching by scholars such as Ladson-Billings (1994), Au (2011), Lee (2007), and Gay (2010) indicate that students of color become more actively engaged in learning, attain higher academic achievement, and experience structural inclusion when the content and pedagogy of instruction reflects their histories and cultures. Culturally responsive teaching promotes structural inclusion because it gives students recognition and civic equality (Gutmann, 2004). Research indicates that the recognition and civic equality that students experience in culturally responsive classrooms help them to feel structurally included (Lee, 2007).
Au (1980) found that if teachers used participation structures in lessons that were similar to the Hawaiian speech event “talk story”, the reading achievement of Native Hawaiian students increased significantly. Lee’s (1995, 2007) research indicates that the achievement of African American students increases when they are taught literary interpretation with lessons that use the African American practice of signifying. Moll, Amanti, Neff, and González (1992) found that when teachers gain an understanding of the “funds of knowledge” of Mexican American households and community networks—and incorporate this knowledge into their teaching—Mexican American students become more active and engaged learners. A study by Ladson-Billings (1995) indicates that the ability to scaffold student learning by bridging home and community cultures is one of the important characteristics of effective teachers of African American students. Paris (2012) contends that culturally responsive pedagogy is necessary but not sufficient. He argues that effective teaching strategies for students from minoritized students should not only be responsive to their cultures and languages but should help them to maintain or sustain important aspects of their languages and cultures while they are learning the knowledge and skills required to function effectively in the mainstream culture. Paris calls this phenomenon “sustaining pedagogy” (p. 93). He writes:

The term *culturally sustaining* requires that our pedagogies be more than responsive of or relevant to the cultural experiences and practices of young people—it requires that they support young people in sustaining the cultural and linguistic competence of their communities while simultaneously offering access to dominant cultural competence [emphasis in original]. (p. 95)
Civic Action Programs. A number of researchers have created and implemented Youth Participatory Action Research (YPAR), service learning, and community-action projects that have enabled students from diverse groups to increase their academic knowledge, political efficacy, and political participatory skills (Cammarota & Fine, 2008; Mirra, Garcia, & Morrell, 2016). When students participate in YPAR, they investigate important community problems and take actions to influence decisions and policies (Nieto, 2016; Powers & Allaman, 2012). The Council of Youth Research program, which is a YPAR intervention, focuses on helping students to increase their knowledge and skills in civic learning, agency, and participation (Mirra, Morrell, Cain, Scorza, & Ford, 2013). The Council has taken action on helping students to attain greater access to healthy foods, acquiring space for student self-expression, expanding access to technology, and increasing the quality of the school curriculum (Mirra, Garcia, & Morrell, 2016, p. 156). Lund (2006) has actively engaged youth in action projects to reduce racism and to increase social justice in their schools and communities in Canada. Ginwright and Cammarota (2007) have used community-based organizations in Oakland, California to engage African American and Latina/o youth in civic action and “critical praxis” to reduce problems in their urban communities such as crime.

The Effects of Ethnic Studies Teaching. Students from cultural, ethnic, linguistic, and religiously diverse communities will find it difficult to develop strong commitments and identities with the nation-state if the institutions within the nation such as museums, libraries, schools, and other public sites do not reflect and incorporate important aspects of their ethnic and community cultures. The incorporation of ethnic studies into the school curriculum is an effective way to help students from diverse groups to experience
a sense of structural inclusion as well as to improve their academic engagement and achievement. In her review of studies on the academic and social effects of ethnic studies, Sleeter (2011) concluded, “[T]here is considerable research evidence that well designed and well-taught ethnic studies curricula have positive academic and social outcomes for students” (p.viii). Several more recent studies have revealed the positive effects of ethnic studies teaching on student academic engagement and achievement. The Mexican American Studies program that was implemented in the Tuscon, Arizona School district was designed to help Mexican American students attain a sense of inclusion within the curriculum by providing a history of the United States that gave their culture and history visibility and recognition (Cammarota, 2007). Another aim of the course was to increase the academic engagement and achievement of Mexican American students, which the developers of the program assumed would be attained by the visibility given to Mexican American history and culture in the curriculum. Cabrera, Milen, Jaquette, and Mark (2014), in a rigorously designed study of the effects of the program, found that it had positive effects on the academic engagement and achievement of the students who took the course. The program evoked a chorus of criticism from influential conservative politicians in Arizona in part because it viewed the history of institutionalized discrimination and racism in the United States from a critical perspective. Despite the program’s positive effects on the academic achievement of Mexican American students, the Arizona legislature enacted a bill that terminated it.

Dee and Penner (2016) examined the effects of an ethnic studies course on several variables related to high school persistence and academic achievement such as attendance, grade point average, and credits earned. Their sample consisted of 1,405 ninth-grade
high-risk students in the San Francisco Unified School District. They concluded that the ethnic studies course had “large positive effects on each of [the] student outcomes” (p. 3). Among the factors that the researchers think made the ethnic studies course effective was its incorporation of culturally responsive teaching in which teachers made use of the students’ cultures and experiences as well as critical pedagogies.

*The Limited but Significant Effects of Schools.* In maintaining that schools can facilitate the structural inclusion of marginalized students and therefore reduce failed citizenship and the barriers it creates, I am keenly aware of the limitations of schools and of the claims made by their revisionist critics. In 1972, Greer published a scathing critique and revisionist interpretation of schools that argued that the belief that schools taught and exemplified democracy was the “great school legend.” The schools not only did not teach or promote democracy argued Greer; they perpetuated social-class stratification and reinforced the class divisions within the larger society. Bowles and Gintis (1976), in their erudite and complex Marxist analysis of U. S. schools, reinforced and extended Greer’s thesis. Anyon (1996) also described the significant ways in which schools reflect the social, economic, and political contexts in which they are embedded.

Although he describes the limitations of schools, Noguera (2003) views schools as vehicles for change and transformation. Noguera's background as a sociologist compels him to conclude that schools are limited by their social and political contexts. However, his experiences as a teacher, parent, and school board member are the source of his strong belief that schools can transform the lives of students and promote equality and social justice. In his book, *City Schools and the American*—as well as in his other articles and books—Noguera’s hopeful and inspiring work helps to restore our faith in the ability
of schools to create possibilities for students who are victimized by failed citizenship. Noguera argues that schools in low-income communities are desperately needed by the students and communities they serve. Consequently, they are essential for the realization of social justice, equality, and successful citizenship socialization.

The theory and research that I describe in this paper about the effects of social studies learning on student civic behavior, on the effectiveness of culturally responsive teaching, and on the positive effects of interventions such as Youth Participatory Action Research and ethnic studies teaching support Noguera’s argument that schools can have positive effects on the engagement and academic achievement of minoritized students. Noguera’s perspective—as well as the theoretical and empirical work that I discuss—are valuable and useful counterarguments to the revisionist critics of schools and for constructing civic education interventions that will help to reduce failed citizenship and enable students to develop political efficacy and participatory citizenship.

The narrow and assimilationist conceptions of citizenship education that are normative in most nations in the world are causing many individuals and groups from marginalized and structurally excluded racial, ethnic, cultural, linguistic, and religious groups to experience failed citizenship. Multidimensional conceptions of citizenship need to be implemented in pluralistic nation-states because diverse groups have nuanced and complex identities with their cultural communities, territories, and nation-states. The nuanced, complex, and evolving identities of the youth described in studies by researchers such as Abu El-Haj (2007) and Nguyen (2011) indicate that assimilationist notions of citizenship are ineffective today because of the deepening diversity throughout the world and the quests by marginalized immigrant, ethnic, cultural, racial, linguistic,
and religious groups for cultural recognition and rights. Schools need to work to reduce failed citizenship by implementing civic education programs that promote multicultural citizenship (Kymlicka, 1995), that recognize the right and need for students to maintain commitments to their cultural communities as well as to the nation-states in which they live. Global migration, the quest by marginalized groups for self-determination and efficacy, and the rising nationalism and xenophobia in nations around the world require a reexamination of the ends and means of citizenship education if it is to promote structural inclusion and civic equality and reduce failed citizenship and its barriers that prevent minoritized students from becoming recognized, participatory, and transformative citizens within their communities and nations.
Failed Citizenship

Failed citizenship exits when individuals or groups who are born within a nation or migrate to it and live within it for an extended period of time do not internalize the values and ethos of the nation-state, feel structurally excluded within it, and have highly ambivalent feeling towards it. Individuals who experience failed citizenship focus primarily on their own needs for political efficacy, group identity, and structural inclusion rather than on the overarching and shared goals of the nation-state. Their allegiance and commitment to the nation-state is eclectic and complex.

Recognized Citizenship

Recognized citizenship exits when the state or nation publicly recognizes an individual or group as a legitimate, legal, and valued member of the polity and provides the individual or group full rights and opportunities to participate. Although recognized citizenship status gives individuals and groups the right and opportunity to fully participate in the civic community of the nation-state, it does not require their participation. Individuals who have state-recognized citizenship status participate in the polity at very different levels, including non-participation.

Participatory Citizenship

Participatory citizenship is exercised by individuals and groups who have been granted recognized citizenship by the nation-state. It takes place when individuals with citizenship rights take actions as minimal as voting to influence political decisions in their communities, nations, and the world to actualize existing laws and conventions. An example of participatory citizenship is the action taken by civil rights groups to enable African Americans to vote after the Voting Rights Act was signed into law by President Lyndon Baines Johnson on August 6, 1965.

Transformative Citizenship

Transformative citizens take action to implement and promote policies, actions, and changes that are consistent with values such as human rights, social justice, and equality. The actions that transformative citizens take might—and sometimes do—violate existing local, state, and national laws. Examples are actions taken by transformative citizens such as Mahatma Gandhi, Martin Luther King, Jr., and Rosa Parks that violated national laws but helped to actualize values such as human rights, social justice, and to eliminate institutionalized discrimination and racism.
### Figure 1

Failed and Participatory Citizenship

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Failed Citizenship</th>
<th>Participatory Citizenship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structural Inclusion</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Identity</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Allegiance</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recognition in Nation</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civic Participation</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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